arXiv:1612.04410v1 [math.GR] 13 Dec 2016

THE DIVISIBILITY GRAPH OF FINITE GROUPS OF LIE TYPE

ADELEH ABDOLGHAFOURIAN, MOHAMMAD A. IRANMANESH,
AND ALICE C. NIEMEYER

Abstract. The *Divisibility Graph* of a finite group G has vertex set the set of conjugacy class lengths of non-central elements in G and two vertices are connected by an edge if one divides the other. We determine the connected components of the Divisibility Graph of the finite groups of Lie type in odd characteristic.

Keywords: Divisibility Graph, Finite Group of Lie Type
MSC2010: primary: 20G40, secondary:05C25

1. Introduction

Given a set X of positive integers, several graphs corresponding to X can be defined. For example the *prime vertex graph* $\Gamma(X)$, the *common divisor graph* $\Delta(X)$ and the *bipartite divisor graph* $B(X)$ (see [24, 27] and references therein for more details). In 2011 Camina and Camina [8] introduced the *divisibility graph* $\mathrm{D}(X)$ of X as the directed graph with vertex set $X\backslash\{1\}$ with an edge from vertex a to vertex b whenever a divides b. For a group G let $cs(G)$ denote the set of conjugacy class lengths of non-central elements in G. Camina and Camina asked [8, Question 7] how many components the divisibility graph of $cs(G)$ has. Clearly it is sufficient to consider the underlying undirected graph and for the remainder of this paper the *Divisibility Graph* $\mathrm{D}(G)$ of a group G refers to the undirected Divisibility Graph $\mathrm{D}(cs(G))$.

Note that the set of vertices $cs(G)$ may be replaced by the set $\mathcal{C}(G)$ of orders of the centralisers of non-central elements of G.

In [7, 27] has been shown that the graphs $\Gamma(cs(G)), \Delta(cs(G))$ and $B(cs(G))$ have at most two connected components when G is a finite group. Indeed, when G is a nonabelian finite simple group, then $\Gamma(cs(G))$ is complete (see [5, 17]). It is clear that $\mathrm{D}(G)$ is a subgraph of $\Gamma(cs(G)))$ and we hope that the structure of $\mathrm{D}(G)$ reveals more about the group G.

The first and second authors have shown that for every comparability graph, there is a finite set X such that this graph is isomorphic to $\mathrm{D}(X)$ in [3]. They found some relationships between the combinatorial properties of $D(X), \Gamma(X)$ and $\Delta(X)$ such as the number of connected components, diameter and girth (see [3, Lemma 1]) and found a relationship between

$\mathrm{D}(X \times Y)$ and product of $\mathrm{D}(X)$ and $\mathrm{D}(Y)$ in [3]. They examined the Divisibility Graph $\mathrm{D}(G)$ of a finite group G in [1] and showed that when G is the symmetric or alternating group, then $\mathrm{D}(G)$ has at most two or three connected components, respectively. In both cases, at most one connected component is not a single vertex.

Here we are interested in the Divisibility Graphs of finite groups of Lie type. The graph of certain finite simple groups of Lie type is known, namely the first and second authors described in [2] the structures of the Divisibility Graphs for $\mathrm{PSL}(2,q)$ and $Sz(q)$. Let K_i denote the complete graph on i vertices. They prove in [2, Theorem 6] that for $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2,q)$ the graph $\mathrm{D}(G)$ is either $3\mathrm{K}_1$ or $\mathrm{K}_2 + 2\mathrm{K}_1$. For the other finite groups of Lie type in odd characteristic we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *Let G be a finite group of Lie type over a finite field of order q or q^2 in characteristic p where p is an odd prime. Suppose further that the Lie rank ℓ of G is either as in Table 1 or at least 2. Then the Divisibility Graph $\mathrm{D}(G)$ has at most one connected component which is not a single vertex.*

In particular, we prove that the non-trivial connected component of $\mathrm{D}(G)$ contains the lengths of the conjugacy classes of all non-central involutions as well as the lengths of all conjugacy classes of all unipotent elements. The number of connected components consisting of a single vertex corresponds to the number of conjugacy classes T^G of tori T in G for which $|TZ(G)/Z(G)|$ is odd, coprime to $|Z(G)|$ and for which the centraliser in G of every non-central element in T is $TZ(G)$.

We now compare the results of the theorem to known results about another type of graph, namely the Prime Graph first introduced by Gruenberg and Kegel in 1975 in an unpublished manuscript. The vertex set of the *Prime Graph* of a finite group G is the set of primes dividing the order of the group and two vertices r and s are adjacent if and only if G contains an element of order rs. Williams [37, Lemma 6] investigated Prime Graphs of finite simple groups in odd characteristic and Kondrat'ev [26] and Lucido [29] investigated these graphs for even characteristic and for almost simple groups, respectively. A subgroup T of a group G is called a CC-group if $C_G(t) \leq T$ for all $t \in T \backslash Z(G)$. Williams proved [37, Theorem 1] that the connected components of the Prime Graph of a finite simple group G of Lie type in odd characteristic p consist at most of the set $\{p\}$, the connected component containing the prime 2, and a collection of sets consisting of the primes dividing the order of some torus T for which $TZ(G)/Z(G)$ has odd order coprime to $|Z(G)|$ and is a CC-group (see Section 3.3). Moreover, for such groups he showed that $\{p\}$ is an isolated vertex of the Prime Graph if and only if $G \cong \mathrm{PSL}(2,q)$ with q odd. Hence for the groups we consider (see Section 2.1 where we exclude small dimensions and certain 'bad' primes) we may assume that $\{p\}$ is not an isolated vertex in the Prime Graph. In this case, Williams shows that the number of connected components of a finite

simple group of Lie type is at most two, unless $G = {}^2D_p(3)$ and $p = 2^n + 1$ a prime for $n \geq 2$, for which the Prime Graph has three components and unless $G = E_8(q)$, for which the Prime Graph can have either four or five components, depending on whether $q \equiv 2, 3 \pmod 5$ or $q \equiv 0, 1, 4 \pmod 5$, respectively. We verified the main theorem separately for the case of small dimensions or the 'bad' primes. Hence we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2. *Let G be a finite group of Lie type over a finite field of order q or q^2 in characteristic p where p is an odd prime. Suppose further that the Lie rank ℓ of G is either as in Table 1 or at least 2 and that $q \neq 3$ if G is of type E_7. Then the Divisibility Graph $\mathrm{D}(G)$ has as many connected components as the Prime Graph of G.*

We note that if G is of adjoint or simply connected type $E_7(3)$, the Divisibility graph of G is connected, whereas the Prime Graph has three connected components (see [37, Table Id].)

The Prime Graph of G, and thus also the Divisibility Graph of G, is linked to yet another graph defined for G, the *Commuting Graph* introduced in 1955 by Brauer and Fowler [6]. The vertices of the Commuting Graph of a group G are the non-central elements of G and two elements are connected by an edge if and only if they commute. Due to the work of Morgan and Parker [31, Theorem 3.7] and Iranmanesh and Jafarzadeh [23, Lemma 4.1] we also obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3. *Let G be a finite group of Lie type over a finite field of order q or q^2 in characteristic p where p is an odd prime. Suppose further that G has trivial centre, that the Lie rank ℓ of G is either as in Table 1 or at least 2 and that $p \geq 3$ if G is of type E_7. Then the G-classes of connected components of the commuting graph of G are in one to one correspondence with the connected components of the Divisibility Graph $\mathrm{D}(G)$.*

1.1. **Example.** As an example we determine the Divisibility Graph of the groups $\mathrm{PSL}(3, q)$ and $\mathrm{PSU}(3, q)$ for q odd. Their conjugacy classes and character tables can be found in [35]. In particular, the centraliser orders of non-trivial elements for both groups are $\mathcal{C}(G) = \{q^3r', q^2, qr'rs, qr', r^2, r'r, r's, t'\}$ where $\epsilon = 1$ for $G = \mathrm{PSL}(3, q)$ and $\epsilon = -1$ for $G = \mathrm{PSU}(3, q)$ and $r = q - \epsilon$, $s = q + \epsilon$, $t = q^2 + \epsilon q + 1$, $a = \gcd(3, r)$, $r' = r/a$, and $t' = t/a$. The graph $\mathrm{D}(G)$, shown in Figure 1, depends on a, since when $a = 1$ the centraliser orders r^2 and $r'r$ agree. The vertex t' corresponds to a Coxeter torus in G of odd order which is a CC-group.

2. Background

For a finite group G let $cs(G) = \{|x^G| \mid \ x \in G\}\backslash\{1\}$ denote the set of conjugacy class lengths of non-central elements in G. Let $\mathrm{D}(G)$ denote the Divisibility Graph of G, the graph with vertex set $cs(G)$ and edge set $\mathcal{E}(G) = \{(|x^G|, |y^G|);$ *either* $|x^G|$ *divides* $|y^G|$ *or* $|y^G|$ *divides* $|x^G|\}$.



FIGURE 1. The divisibility graph for $\mathrm{PSL}(3,q)$ and $\mathrm{PSU}(3,q)$ (left: $\gcd(3,r) \neq 1$ right: $\gcd(3,r) = 1$).

Note that for $x, y \in G$ there is an edge in $\mathrm{D}(G)$ between $|x^G|$ and $|y^G|$ if and only if $|C_G(y)|$ divides $|C_G(x)|$ or $|C_G(x)|$ divides $|C_G(y)|$. Therefore, the set of vertices $cs(G)$ may be replaced by the set $\mathcal{C}(G) = \{|C_G(x)| \mid x \in G, x \notin Z(G)\}$. If $(|x^G|, |y^G|) \in \mathcal{E}(G)$ we write $x \sim y$. We say non-central elements $x, y \in G$ are *equivalent* if $|x^G| \neq |y^G|$ and $|x^G|$ and $|y^G|$ are in the same connected component of $\mathrm{D}(G)$. Note that being equivalent induces an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{C}(G)$.

Lemma 4. *Let G be a finite group and $x, y \in G \backslash Z(G)$.*

1. *If $\gcd(|x|, |y|) = 1$ and $xy = yx$ then $C_G(xy) = C_G(x) \cap C_G(y)$ and in particular $x \sim xy \sim y$.*
2. *$x \sim x^m$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}\backslash\{1\}$ such that $x^m \notin Z(G)$.*
3. *If $\gcd(|xZ(G)|, |yZ(G)|) = 1$ and $xyZ(G) = yxZ(G)$ then x is equivalent to y.*

Proof. Note that (1) is [15, Lemma 3]. (2) is obvious. Now consider (3). By replacing x and y by x^{m_x} and y^{m_y} for some integers m_x, m_y if necessary, we may assume that $\gcd(|x|, |y|) = 1$. Now suppose $z \in Z(G)$ such that $xy = yxz$. Then $|x| = |x^y| = |xz| = lcm(|x|, |z|)$ and $|y| = |y^x| = |yz^{-1}| = lcm(|y|, |z|)$. Since $|z|$ divides $\gcd(|x|, |y|) = 1$ we have $z = 1$. Hence $xy = yx$ and the result follows from (1). □

2.1. **The groups we consider.** Let $q = p^f$ be a power of an odd prime p and f a non-negative integer. Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a connected semisimple (which implies reductive) algebraic group of rank ℓ with $\ell \geq 2$ defined over the algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ or $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q^2}$ of the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ or $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Let $F : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{G}$ be a Frobenius morphism and let $G = \mathbf{G}^F = \{g \in \mathbf{G} \mid F(g) = g\}$ be a finite group of Lie type. Moreover, if $\mathbf{G}$ is a classical group of Lie type, we assume that $\Omega \leq G \leq \Delta$, where Ω and Δ and ℓ are as in Table 1. For a field K and a positive integer n, for convenience we denote both $\mathrm{GL}(n, K)$ and $\mathrm{GU}(n, K)$ by $\mathrm{GL}^\epsilon(n, K)$, where $\epsilon = 1$ in the former and $\epsilon = -1$ in the latter case.

Type	n	Ω	Δ	$\dim(\mathbf{\Delta})$	Rank
A_ℓ	$\ell+1$	$\mathrm{SL}(n,q)$	$\mathrm{GL}(n,q)$	n^2	$\ell \geq 3$
${}^2A_\ell$	$\ell+1$	$\mathrm{SU}(n,q)$	$\mathrm{GU}(n,q)$	n^2	$\ell \geq 3$
B_ℓ	$2\ell+1$	$\Omega(n,q)$	$\mathrm{GO}(n,q)$	$n(n-1)/2$	$\ell \geq 2$
C_ℓ	2ℓ	$\mathrm{Sp}(n,q)$	$\mathrm{GSp}(n,q)$	$n(n+1)/2$	$\ell \geq 3$
D_ℓ	2ℓ	$\Omega^+(n,q)$	$\mathrm{GO}^+(n,q)$	$n(n-1)/2$	$\ell \geq 2$
${}^2D_\ell$	2ℓ	$\Omega^-(n,q)$	$\mathrm{GO}^-(n,q)$	$n(n-1)/2$	$\ell \geq 3$

TABLE 1. Finite classical groups considered in Theorem 1

Note that $\mathrm{SL}_2(q) \cong \mathrm{Sp}_2(q) \cong \mathrm{SU}_2(q)$ so that we take $\ell \geq 3$ for types ${}^2A_\ell$ and C_ℓ. Moreover, $\Omega_3(q) \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$ so we also take $\ell \geq 2$ in case B_ℓ. As $\Omega_4^-(q) \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(q^2)$, we take $\ell \geq 3$ in case ${}^2D_\ell$. Moreover, $\Omega_4^+(q) \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(q) \circ \mathrm{SL}_2(q)$, where $\circ$ denotes the central product. We determine the Divisibility Graph of this group directly. In fact, it is easy to see that in this case the Divisibility Graph is always connected. Thus for the remainder of the proof we may assume $\ell \geq 3$ in case D_ℓ.

For convenience we also record the dimension of the algebraic group corresponding to Δ in the same table.

For the exceptional groups when p is a bad and odd prime, we obtained the Divisibility graphs directly, either using the Tables in [12, 16, 18, 19, 34] or using an explicit list of the generic centraliser orders in $E_7(q)$ as polynomials in q computed by Frank Lübeck. Using Lübeck's description as polynomials in q in GAP [21], we could first determine the lengths of the conjugacy classes of $E_7(q)$ as polynomials in q together with their multiplicities. For those conjugacy classes with non-zero multiplicities, we could determine the divisibility graph. We verified that Theorem 1 holds for all such groups. In the case of the adjoint group $E_7(q)$ for q a power of 3, the divisibility graph is connected even when $q = 3$.

Therefore, from now on we assume that p is odd and a good prime for $\mathbf{G}$, that is ([9, p. 28])

- $p \neq 2$ when G has type $A_\ell, {}^2A_\ell,\ B_\ell,\ C_\ell,\ D_\ell, {}^2D_\ell$,
- $p \notin \{2,3\}$ when G has type $G_2, F_4, E_6, {}^2E_6, E_7$,
- $p \notin \{2,3,5\}$ when G has type E_8.

The force of p being a good prime is that for any $x \in \mathbf{G}$ all unipotent elements in $C_{\mathbf{G}}(x)$ lie in $C_{\mathbf{G}}(x)^\circ$.

Let $F : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{G}$ be a Frobenius morphism and let $G = \mathbf{G}^F = \{g \in \mathbf{G} \mid F(g) = g\}$ be a finite group of Lie type, $\mathbf{T}_0$ a fixed F-stable maximal torus and $W = N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{T}_0)/\mathbf{T}_0$ the Weyl group of $\mathbf{G}$. Throughout the paper, let $\overline{G}$ denote $G/Z(G)$ and $\overline{g} = gZ(G)$ for $g \in G$.

An element $g \in G$ is called *regular*, if $C_{\mathbf{G}}(g)$ has dimension ℓ, where ℓ denotes the rank of $\mathbf{G}$, i.e. the dimension of a maximal torus of $\mathbf{G}$. More background on the finite groups of Lie type can be found in [9] and [33].

3. Proof of the main theorem

Our aim is to determine the connected components of the Divisibility Graph $\mathrm{D}(G)$. It is well known that each element $g \in G$ has a Jordan decomposition $g = us = su$ with u unipotent and s semisimple. If g is non-central then either s is non-central and hence $g \sim s$ or u is non-trivial and $g \sim u$. Thus we consider non-central semisimple and unipotent elements. In Section 3.1 we show that all unipotent elements in G are equivalent. In Section 3.2 we show that every non-central and non-regular semisimple element is equivalent to a unipotent element in G. In Section 3.3 we recall the notion of a CC-group introduced by Williams and show that certain maximal tori in G which are also CC-groups yield isolated vertices of $\mathrm{D}(G)$. Finally we show in Section 3.4 that all regular semisimple elements which do not lie in such a torus are also equivalent to a unipotent element. Thus the main theorem follows.

3.1. **Unipotent elements.** Let G be a finite classical group of Lie type and let u be a unipotent element in G. We determine the q-part of the order of the centralizer of u in Δ when G is one of the groups in Table 1. As q does not divide $|Z(G)|$ nor $[\Delta : \Omega]$, the q-part of $|C_H(u)|$ is the same for all groups H with $\Omega \leq H \leq \Delta$ and for groups $H/Z(H)$.

Lemma 5. *Let $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q), Sp_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ or $GO_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ and let $G = \mathbf{G}^F$. Let u be a unipotent element in G which corresponds in $\mathbf{G}$ to a Jordan decomposition $\oplus J_i^{r_i}$. In particular, in cases* Sp *or O the integer r_i is even for i odd or i even, respectively. Then $|C_G(u)|_q = q^{a_u}$, where a_u is determined by the following formulas:*

(1) $G = \mathrm{GL}^{\epsilon}(n,q)$ *then* $a_u = \displaystyle\sum_i \left(ir_i^2 - \frac{r_i(r_i+1)}{2} \right) + 2\sum_{i<j} ir_ir_j.$

(2) $G = \mathrm{Sp}(n,q)$ *then* $a_u = \displaystyle\frac{1}{2}\sum_i (i - \frac{1}{2})r_i^2 + \sum_{i<j} ir_ir_j + \sum_{\substack{i \ even \\ r_i \ odd}} \frac{1}{4}.$

(3) $G = \mathrm{GO}^{\epsilon}(n,q)$ *for* $\epsilon \in \{\circ, +, -\}$, *then*
$a_u = \displaystyle\frac{1}{2}\sum_i (i - \frac{1}{2})r_i^2 + \sum_{i<j} ir_ir_j - \frac{1}{2}\sum_i r_i + \sum_{i, r_i \ odd} \frac{1}{4}.$

Proof. We first note that it is well known that $C_{\mathbf{G}}(u)$ admits a Levi-decomposition, see for example [22, Prop. 3.2] or [28, Theorem 3.1]. That is, $C_{\mathbf{G}}(u) = \mathbf{UR}$, where $\mathbf{U} = R_u(C_{\mathbf{G}}(u))$ is the unipotent radical of $C_{\mathbf{G}}(u)$, the group $\mathbf{R}$ is reductive and $\mathbf{U} \cap \mathbf{R} = \{1\}$. Moreover, $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{R}$ are F-stable, $C_G(u) = UR$, and $|U| = q^{\dim \mathbf{U}}$, see [22, Prop. 3.2] or [28, Theorem 7.1]. Thus we need to determine $\dim \mathbf{U}$. Consequently, $\dim \mathbf{U} = \dim C_{\mathbf{G}}(u) - \dim \mathbf{R}$.

We consider the different cases of classical groups in turn and note that in each case [28, Theorem 3.1(iii)] determines $\dim C_{\mathbf{G}}(u)$ and [28, Theorem 3.1(iv),Theorem 7.1(ii)] describe $\mathbf{R}$ and thus $\dim \mathbf{R}$, and R. It follows that $|C_G(u)|_q = q^{\dim \mathbf{U}}|R|_q$.

Case GL: Let $G = \mathrm{GL}^\epsilon(n,q)$. Then $\dim C_{\mathrm{GL}^\epsilon(n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)}(u) = \sum_i ir_i^2 + 2\sum_{i<j} ir_ir_j$ and $\mathbf{R} = \prod \mathrm{GL}(r_i,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$, thus $\dim \mathbf{R} = \sum_i r_i^2$, and $R = \prod \mathrm{GL}^\epsilon(r_i,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$, showing that $\dim \mathbf{U} = \sum_i (i-1)r_i^2 + 2\sum_{i<j} ir_ir_j$. Thus $|C_{\mathrm{GL}(n,q)}(u)|_q = q^{a_u} = q^{\dim(\mathbf{U})}\prod_i |\mathrm{GL}(r_i,q)|_q$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} a_u &= \sum_i (i-1)r_i^2 + 2\sum_{i<j} ir_ir_j + \sum_i \frac{r_i(r_i-1)}{2} \\ &= \sum_i \left(ir_i^2 - \frac{r_i(r_i+1)}{2}\right) + 2\sum_{i<j} ir_ir_j. \end{aligned}$$

Case Sp: Here $\dim C_{\mathbf{G}}(u) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_i ir_i^2 + \sum_{i<j} ir_ir_j + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i \text{ odd}} r_i$ and, moreover, $\mathbf{R} = \prod_{i \text{ odd}} \mathrm{Sp}(r_i,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q) \times \prod_{i \text{ even}} GO(r_i,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$. Thus, $\dim \mathbf{R} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i \text{ odd}} r_i(r_i+1) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i \text{ even}} r_i(r_i-1)$, showing that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathbf{U} &= \dim C_{\mathbf{G}}(u) - \dim \mathbf{R} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_i ir_i^2 + \sum_{i<j} ir_ir_j + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i \text{ odd}} r_i - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i \text{ odd}} r_i(r_i+1) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i \text{ even}} r_i(r_i-1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_i ir_i^2 + \sum_{i<j} ir_ir_j - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i \text{ odd}} r_i^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i \text{ even}} r_i(r_i-1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_i (i-1)r_i^2 + \sum_{i<j} ir_ir_j + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i \text{ even}} r_i. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $q^{a_u} = q^{\dim(\mathbf{U})}\prod_{i \text{ odd}} |\mathrm{Sp}(r_i,q)|_q \prod_{i \text{ even}} |GO^{\epsilon_i}(r_i,q)|_q$ and

$$a_u = \frac{1}{2}\sum_i (i-1)r_i^2 + \sum_{i<j} ir_ir_j + \sum_i \frac{r_i^2}{4} + \sum_{\substack{i \text{ even} \\ r_i \text{odd}}} \frac{1}{4}.$$

Case O: Here $\dim C_{\mathbf{G}}(u) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_i ir_i^2 + \sum_{i<j} ir_ir_j - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i \text{ odd}} r_i$ and furthermore $\mathbf{R} = \prod_{i \text{ odd}} GO(r_i,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q) \times \prod_{i \text{ even}} \mathrm{Sp}(r_i,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$. Thus $\dim \mathbf{R} = \sum_{i \text{ odd}} \frac{r_i(r_i-1)}{2} +$

$\sum_{i \text{ even}} \frac{r_i(r_i+1)}{2}$, showing that

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim \mathbf{U} &= \dim C_{\mathbf{G}}(u) - \dim \mathbf{R} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\sum_i ir_i^2 + \sum_{i<j} ir_ir_j - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i \text{ odd}} r_i - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i \text{ odd}} r_i(r_i-1) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i \text{ even}} r_i(r_i+1) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\sum_i ir_i^2 + \sum_{i<j} ir_ir_j - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i \text{ odd}} r_i^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i \text{ even}} r_i(r_i+1) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\sum_i (i-1)r_i^2 + \sum_{i<j} ir_ir_j - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i \text{ even}} r_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $q^{a_u} = q^{\dim(\mathbf{U})} \prod_{i \text{ odd}} |GO^{\epsilon_i}(r_i,q)|_q \prod_{i \text{ even}} |\mathrm{Sp}(r_i,q)|_q$ and

$$a_u = \frac{1}{2}\sum_i (i-\frac{1}{2})r_i^2 + \sum_{i<j} ir_ir_j - \frac{1}{2}\sum_i r_i + \sum_{i,r_i \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{4}.$$

□

We now show that all unipotent elements in G are equivalent.

Lemma 6. *Let G be a finite group of Lie type as given in Section* 2.1*. Then all unipotent elements in G are equivalent.*

Proof. Let u be a regular unipotent element in G, which exists by [9, Prop.5.1.7]. Then u lies in a maximal connected F-stable unipotent subgroup $\mathbf{U}$ of $\mathbf{G}$ and by [36, III.1.14] $C_{\mathbf{G}}(u) = Z(\mathbf{G}).C_{\mathbf{U}}(u)$ and, since p is good, $C_{\mathbf{U}}(u)$ is connected. Moreover, $|C_G(u)|_q = q^\ell$.

Note that it is enough to show this for one of the groups with given Dynkin Diagram as their q-parts of the centralisers are the same. For the classical groups we restrict our attention to the groups considered in Lemma 5.

Let v be unipotent with Jordan decomposition $\oplus_i J_i^{r_i}$. By Lemma 5 $|C_G(v)|_q = q^{a_v}$. If v is not regular, we show that q^ℓ divides $|C_G(v)|_q$. From this it follows that v is equivalent to u, as all semisimple elements in $C_G(u)$ lie in $Z(G)$ and $Z(G) \leq C_G(v)$. Thus we need to show that $a_v - \ell \geq 0$. We note that $n = \sum_i ir_i$.

Suppose first that $G = \mathrm{GL}^\epsilon(n,q)$. By Lemma 5 $|C_{\mathrm{GL}(n,q)}(v)|_q = q^{a_v}$ with $a_v = \sum_i \left(ir_i^2 - r_i(r_i+1)/2\right) + 2\sum_{i<j} ir_ir_j$. As $ir_ir_j \geq r_ir_j$, we note that

$$a_v - \ell \geq \sum_i r_i \left(ir_i - i - \frac{(r_i+1)}{2} + \sum_{j \neq i} r_j \right).$$

We show that for any i the i-th summand is non-negative. Clearly this is the case when $r_i = 0$. So suppose now $r_i > 0$. Then it suffices to show $(i-\frac{1}{2})r_i - (i+\frac{1}{2}) + \sum_{j\neq i} r_j \geq 0$ if $r_i \geq \frac{i+\frac{1}{2}}{i-\frac{1}{2}} = 1 + \frac{1}{i-\frac{1}{2}}$. For $i = 1$ this is the case for $r_i \geq 3$ and for $i > 1$ this is the case for $r_i \geq 2$. Hence for any such pair (i, r_i) each summand is non-negative.

Now consider the case $i = 1$ and $r_i = 1, 2$ or $i > 1$ and $r_i = 1$. As v is not regular, $i < n$. And since $n \geq 3$ in either case, there is at least one j with $j \neq i$ such that $r_j \neq 0$. Then $(i-\frac{1}{2})r_i - (i+\frac{1}{2}) + \sum_{j\neq i} r_j \geq (i-\frac{1}{2})r_i - i + \frac{1}{2}$. For $r_i = 1$ this expression is 0 and for $r_i = 2$ we have $i = 1$ and thus $(i-\frac{1}{2})r_i - i + \frac{1}{2} = 1/2 \geq 0$.

Suppose now that $G = \mathrm{Sp}(n, q)$ with n even. As $ir_ir_j \geq r_ir_j$, and $\ell = \frac{1}{2}n = \frac{1}{2}\sum_i ir_i$, we note that by Lemma 5(b) $|C_{\mathrm{Sp}(n,q)}(v)|_q = q^{a_v}$ with $a_v - \ell \geq \frac{1}{2}\sum_i r_i \left((i-\frac{1}{2})r_i + \sum_{j\neq i} r_j - i\right)$.

It suffices to show that for any i the i-th summand is non-negative. This is certainly the case when $r_i = 0$. So suppose $r_i > 0$. This is the case when $r_i \geq 2$. Now suppose $r_i = 1$. Then i has to be even and hence $i \geq 2$. As v is not regular and $v \neq 1$, there is a j with $r_j \neq 0$, whence $\sum_{j\neq i} r_j \geq 1$, and now $(i-\frac{1}{2})r_i + 1 - i \geq 0$.

Suppose now that $G = \mathrm{GO}^{\varepsilon}(n, q)$ with $\epsilon = \circ$, if n odd and $\epsilon = \pm$ if n even. The Lie rank ℓ of G is $\frac{1}{2}(n-1)$ when n is odd and $\frac{1}{2}n$ when n is even. In either case, $\frac{1}{2}\sum_i ir_i \geq \ell$.

As $ir_ir_j \geq r_ir_j$, we note that for $|C_{\mathrm{GO}^{\varepsilon}(n,q)}(v)|_q = q^{a_v}$ we have by Lemma 5(3)

$$a_v - \ell \;\geq\; \frac{1}{2}\sum_i r_i \left((i-\frac{1}{2})r_i - 1 - i + \sum_{j\neq i} r_j\right) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i, r_i \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{2}$$

It suffices to show that for any i the i-th summand is non-negative. This is certainly the case when $r_i = 0$. So suppose $r_i > 0$. If $r_i \geq 2$, then as $\sum kr_k \geq 4$, either $i \geq 2$ or there is a j with $r_j \neq 0$, whence $\sum_{j\neq i} r_j \geq 1$. Thus the i-th summand is non-negative as either $(i-\frac{1}{2})2-1-i = i-2 \geq 0$ or $(i-\frac{1}{2})2-1-i+1 = i-1 \geq 0$. Now suppose $r_i = 1$. Then i has to be odd and, as v is not regular and $v \neq 1$, there is a j with $r_j \neq 0$, whence $\sum_{j\neq i} r_j \geq 1$. Thus the i-th summand of $a_v - \ell$ is at least $\frac{1}{2}(i - \frac{1}{2} - 1 - i + 1) + \frac{1}{4} = 0$.

We now consider the remaining cases. Note that it suffices to consider the simple group.

$G_2(q)$: Since p is good, $\gcd(6, p) = 1$ and G contains a unipotent element u whose centraliser has order q^2 and q^2 divides the order of the centraliser of every other non-trivial unipotent element in G by [28, Table 22.2.6].

$F_4(q)$: Since p is good, $\gcd(12, p^2) = 1$ and G contains a unipotent element u whose centraliser has order q^4 and q^4 divides the order of the centraliser of every other non-trivial unipotent element in G by [28, Table 22.2.4].

$E_6(q), {}^2E_6(q)$: Since p is good, $\gcd(6, p) = 1$ and G contains a unipotent element u whose centraliser has order q^6 and q^6 divides the order of the centraliser of every other non-trivial unipotent element in G by [28, Table 22.2.3].

$E_7(q)$: Since p is good, $\gcd(12, p^2) = 1$ and G contains a unipotent element u whose centraliser has order q^7 and q^7 divides the order of the centraliser of every other non-trivial unipotent element in G by [28, Table 22.2.2].

$E_8(q)$: Since p is good, $\gcd(60, p^2) = 1$ and G contains a unipotent element u whose centraliser has order q^8 and q^8 divides the order of the centraliser of every other non-trivial unipotent element in G by [28, Table 22.2.1].

□

3.2. **Non-regular semisimple elements.**

Lemma 7. *Let G be as in Section 2.1. Then every non-central, non-regular semisimple element is equivalent to a unipotent element of G.*

Proof. Let s be a non-central and non-regular semisimple element in G. Then s lies in an F-stable torus $\mathbf{T}$ of $\mathbf{G}$ and $C_{\mathbf{G}}(s)^\circ = \langle \mathbf{T}, X_\alpha \mid \alpha(s) = 1 \rangle$, where the X_α denote the root subgroups with respect to the torus $\mathbf{T}$ by [9, Theorem 3.5.3(i)]. Now $C_{\mathbf{G}}(s)^\circ$ is a connected reductive and F-stable [9, p. 28] and $C_{\mathbf{G}}(s)^F = C_G(s)$ (see [10, p. 1]). Moreover, since s is not regular, there exists a root α with respect to $\mathbf{T}$ for which $\alpha(s) = 1$ by [13, Proposition 14.6]. Therefore the equivalence class A of X_α determines a non-trivial unipotent subgroup $(X_A)^F$ of G by [33, Prop. 23.7, Prop. 23.9]. In particular $C_G(s)$ contains a unipotent element. □

Our next aim is to show that if $xZ(G)$ is an involution in $G/Z(G)$ then x is equivalent to a unipotent element in G. For the exceptional groups the proof relies on the knowledge of their classes of involutions.

Lemma 8. *Let G be a finite group of Lie type of rank ℓ as given in Section 2.1. If $\overline{x}$ is an involution in $\overline{G}$ then x is equivalent to a unipotent element in G.*

Proof. Suppose first that G is a group of type $A_\ell, {}^2A_\ell, B_\ell$, C_ℓ. As q odd, an element of order a power of two is semisimple. Note also that a non-central semisimple element $x \in G$ is regular if and only if it lies in a unique maximal torus of G. This is the case if and only if $xZ(G)$ is regular in $G/Z(G)$. A semisimple element in a classical group H in natural characteristic p is regular in types $A_\ell, {}^2A_\ell, B_\ell, C_\ell$ if and only if its minimal polynomial and its characteristic polynomial are equal. In case D_ℓ a stronger condition holds, see [20, Theorem 3.2.1]. For all values of ℓ we consider (see Section 2.1) there are no regular involutions in classical groups. Moreover, there are also no regular elements of order four whose square is a central involution. Thus in H or $H/Z(H)$ every involution is equivalent to a unipotent element by Lemma 7.

Now let $\overline{G}$ be one of the exceptional or twisted simple groups, namely $G_2(q), F_4(q), E_6(q), E_7(q), E_8(q), {}^2E_6(q), {}^3D_4(q)$ where q is a power of an odd prime. Character tables and conjugacy classes of these finite simple groups of

Lie type have been studied extensively, see for example [11, 12, 14, 30, 32, 34] and it is known that the order of a centraliser of an involution in these groups is divisible by q. So by Lemma 4, each involution is equivalent to some unipotent element. □

Lemma 9. *Let G be as in Section 2.1 and let s be a semisimple element of G. If $|C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s})|$ is even, s is equivalent to a unipotent element.*

Proof. As $|C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s})|$ is even, there is an involution $\overline{x} \in C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s})$.

If $|\overline{s}|$ is odd, then some power of $\overline{s}^b$ has odd prime order and thus $\overline{s} \sim \overline{s}^b \sim \overline{x}$ as $\overline{xs} = \overline{sx}$ and $\gcd(|\overline{s}|, |\overline{x}|) = 1$ by Lemma 4(1). By Lemma 4(3) s^b and thus also s is equivalent to x. If $|\overline{s}|$ is even then we may choose x to be the power s^b for which $\overline{s}^b$ is an involution in $\overline{T}_0$. Clearly s is equivalent to $x = s^b$. By Lemma 8 x is equivalent in G to a unipotent element and thus so is s. □

3.3. **Isolated vertices.** Williams [37, Lemma 5] calls a subgroup T of a finite group G a *CC-group* if $C_G(x) \leq T$ for all $x \in T \backslash Z(G)$. If G is a group we denote by $\overline{G}$ the group $G/Z(G)$, for $H \leq G$ we let $\overline{H} = HZ(G)/Z(G)$ and for $g \in G$ we denote $gZ(G)$ by $\overline{g}$. Now suppose G is a finite group of Lie type $\overline{T}$ is a maximal torus of $\overline{G}$ and a CC-group such that $\gcd(|\overline{T}|, |Z(G)|) = 1$. Williams proved that the set π of prime divisors of $|\overline{T}|$ forms a connected component of the Prime Graph of G. Some general properties of CC-groups are given in [4, Proposition 1.14], where they are called *sharp* subgroups. In particular, a torus which is a CC-group is a Hall π-subgroup of G.

Here we prove that if G is a finite group of Lie type and T is a maximal torus such that $\overline{T}$ has odd order and is a CC-group in $\overline{G}$, then $|s^G|$ for an $s \in T \backslash Z(G)$ forms an isolated vertex of $\mathrm{D}(G)$. Let $T^G = \cup_{h \in G} T^h$.

If the Prime Graph of G has more than one component, let π_1 denote the component containing the prime 2. It follows from [37, p. 487] the number of components of the Prime Graph of G is at most that of the Prime Graph of the simple factor corresponding to G. In the following two lemmas we make use of a result of Williams [37, Lemma 5] in which he showed in particular that for a (not necessarily simple) group G as in Section 2.1 and a maximal torus T in G the primes dividing $|T|$ form a complete component of the Prime Graph of G not containing the prime 2 if and only if $|\overline{T}|$ is odd, coprime to $|Z(G)|$ and $\overline{T}$ is a CC-group.

Lemma 10. *Let G be as in Section 2.1. Let T be a maximal torus in G for which $\overline{T}$ has odd order coprime to $|Z(G)|$ and is a CC-group. Then the non-central elements g of T^G form the isolated vertex $|g^G| = |G|/|TZ(G)|$ in $\mathrm{D}(G)$.*

Proof. Let $a = g^h \in T^G \backslash Z(G)$. Then $|C_G(a)| = |C_G(g^h)| = |C_G(g)| = |TZ(G)|$ for every $a \in T^G \backslash Z(G)$ and thus the non-central elements in T^G form a single vertex in $\mathrm{D}(G)$. It remains to see that this vertex is isolated.

Suppose to the contrary that $g \in T^G$ is non-central and its conjugacy class length is not isolated in $\mathrm{D}(G)$. Then there is a non-central element $x \in G \backslash T^G$ with $x \sim g$ but $|x^G| \neq |g^G|$. Let π denote the set of prime divisors of $|\overline{T}|$. Note that by [37, Lemma 5] π is a complete component of the Prime Graph of G containing only odd primes. As $x \notin T^G$, the elements $\overline{g}$ and $\overline{x}$ have coprime order.

Let b be a prime with $b \mid |\overline{x}|$ and $b \notin \pi$. Thus b divides $|C_G(x)|$ but not $|C_G(g)|$, hence $|C_G(g)| \mid |C_G(x)|$ since $x \sim g$. Let $r \in \pi$ and let $y \in C_G(x)$ be an element of order r. Then $x \in C_G(y)$. As $\gcd(|\overline{T}|, |Z(G)|) = 1$ it follows that $y \notin Z(G)$ and hence $\overline{x} \in C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{y})$. Therefore $\overline{xy}$ is an element of order br in $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{y})$ and r is connected to b in the Prime Graph of $\overline{G}$. This is a contradiction to π being a complete connected component of the Prime Graph. □

Lemma 11. *Let G be as in Section* 2.1. *Let T be a maximal torus in G for which $\overline{T}$ is a CC-group and* $\gcd(|\overline{T}|, |Z(G)|) \neq 1$. *Then $|\overline{T}|$ is even.*

Proof. Let π denote the set of divisors of $|\overline{T}|$. If $|\overline{T}|$ is even, the result holds. Seeking a contradiction, we now assume $|\overline{T}|$ is odd. As $\gcd(|\overline{T}|, |Z(G)|) \neq 1$, there is an odd prime $r \in \pi$ dividing $|Z(G)|$. In particular, we are then in case $A_\ell, {}^2A_\ell$, $E_6(q)$ or ${}^2E_6(q)$. In all of these cases, r divides the centraliser of an involution in $\overline{G}$, see the proof of [37, Lemma 5(d)]. In particular, there is an element $g \in G$ for which $\overline{g}$ has order $2r$. Let $x = g^r$ and $y = g^2$. Since $\overline{T}$ is a CC-group it is a Hall π-subgroup there is an element $\overline{h} \in \overline{G}$ such that $\overline{t} = \overline{y}^{\overline{h}} \in \overline{T}$. In particular, $\overline{t}$ has order a and commutes with $\overline{x}^{\overline{h}}$ which has order 2. Thus 2 divides $|C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{t})| = |\overline{T}|$, a contradiction to our assumption. □

3.4. **Regular semi-simple elements.** We now consider regular semisimple elements. For such an element s we know that $C_{\mathbf{G}}(s)^\circ = \mathbf{T}$ (see [33, Cor. 14.10]) and hence $(C_{\mathbf{G}}(s)^\circ)^F = \mathbf{T}^F = T$.

We first identify those regular semisimple elements in a finite group G of Lie type whose centralizers are equal to the maximal torus T. Let k denote the order of the fundamental group of G. Table 2 yields k (see [9, pp. 25-26]).

The following lemma is [36, Lemma 4.4], [33, Proposition 14.20] and [9, p. 25]. For a semisimple element we use the notation $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s}) = C_{\overline{\mathbf{G}}}(\overline{s})^F$ and $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s})^\circ = \left(C_{\overline{\mathbf{G}}}(\overline{s})^\circ\right)^F$.

Lemma 12. *Let G be as in Section* 2.1 *and let s be a semisimple element in G. Then $[C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s}) : C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s})^\circ]$ divides the order k of the Fundamental group as in Table 2. If* $\gcd(|s|, k) = 1$ *then $C_G(s) = C_G(s)^\circ$. In particular, if* $\gcd(|s|, k) = 1$ *and s is regular semisimple, then the unique maximal torus T containing s is $C_G(s)$.*

Dynkin Diagram	k
A_ℓ	$\gcd(\ell+1, q-1)$
${}^2A_\ell$	$\gcd(\ell+1, q+1)$
B_ℓ, C_ℓ	2
D_ℓ	4
G_2, F_4, E_8	1
E_6	3
E_7	2

TABLE 2. Orders of the fundamental group

Lemma 13. *Let G be as in Section 2.1 and let s be a regular semisimple element in G. If $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s}) > C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s})^\circ = \overline{T}$ then s is equivalent to a unipotent element in G.*

Proof. Suppose $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s}) > C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s})^\circ = \overline{T}$. The result follows from Lemma 9 when $|C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s})|$ is even. Thus we may assume $|C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s})|$ is odd. In particular, $[C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s}) : C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s})^\circ]$ is odd and also divides the order k of the Fundamental group as in Table 2. This implies we are either in case A_ℓ, ${}^2A_\ell$, E_6 or ${}^2E_6$.

If $|\overline{s}|$ is not prime, then we can choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\overline{s}^m|$ is prime. If $\overline{s}^m$ is not regular, then $\overline{s}^m$, and thus also $\overline{s}$, is equivalent to a unipotent element by Lemma 7. Thus we may assume $\overline{s}^m$ is regular. Then T is the unique torus containing $\overline{s}^m$. Moreover, $\overline{s}^m \in \overline{T} = C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s})^\circ < C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s}) \leq C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s}^m)$. Thus, by Lemma 12, $|\overline{s}^m|$ is prime divisor of k. Hence, replacing $\overline{s}$ by $\overline{s}^m$ if necessary, we may now assume that $|\overline{s}|$ is an odd prime dividing k.

Suppose first we are in case A_ℓ or ${}^2A_\ell$. In this case, a semisimple element in $\mathrm{GL}^\epsilon(n, q)$ is regular if and only if its characteristic polynomial is equal to its minimal polynomial. As k divides $q - \epsilon$, a regular semisimple element of order dividing k is similar to a diagonal matrix with pairwise distinct entries in underlying field. Thus the unique torus inside $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$ or $\mathrm{GU}(n, q)$ containing this element is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^n_{q-\epsilon}$. Inside $\overline{G}$ it follows that $|\overline{T}|$ is even for $n \geq 3$, contrary to our assumption that $|C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s})|$ is odd. Hence this case cannot arise.

Now consider the case that $\overline{G} = E_6(q)$ or $\overline{G} = {}^2E_6(q)$. By [33, Example 26.11] $C_G(\overline{s})$ is one of the centralizers in [33, Table 26.1] and divisible by q. In particular, s is equivalent to a unipotent element. □

Lemma 14. *Let G be as in Section 2.1 and let s be a regular semisimple element in G such that $C_G(s)^\circ$ a maximal torus which is not a CC-group. Moreover, suppose $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s}) = C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s})^\circ = \overline{T}$. Then s is equivalent to a unipotent element.*

Proof. For any $t \in T$ we have $Z(G) \leq C_G(t)$ and, since T is abelian, $T \leq C_G(t)$. Moreover, $\overline{C_G(t)} \leq C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{t})$. For $t = s$ this implies $\overline{T} \leq \overline{C_G(s)} \leq C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s}) = \overline{T}$ and hence $C_G(s) = T.Z(G)$ and $C_G(s) \leq C_G(t)$ for all $t \in T$, implying $s \sim t$.

As $\overline{T}$ is not a CC-group, there is one element $t \in T$ such that $\overline{T} < C_{\overline{G}}(\bar{t})$. If t is non-regular semisimple, then by Lemma 7 there is a unipotent element u equivalent to t while for t regular semisimple such a u exists by Lemma 13. Therefore, s and u are also equivalent. □

Lemma 15. *Let G be as in Section* 2.1. *Then every non-central semisimple element $s \in G$ is either equivalent to a unipotent element of G or $|s^G|$ is an isolated vertex of* $\mathrm{D}(G)$.

Proof. As $\overline{s} \in \overline{G}$ is semisimple, there exists a maximal torus $\overline{T}$ of $\overline{G}$ such that $\overline{s} \in \overline{T}$. We consider the following cases:

Case 1: $|C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s})|$ is even. Then s is equivalent to a unipotent element by Lemma 9. From now on we assume that $|C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s})|$ is odd.

Case 2: $\overline{T}$ is a CC-subgroup. Then $\gcd(|Z(G)|, |\overline{T}|) = 1$ by Lemma 11 as in particular $|\overline{T}|$ is odd. Therefore s is related to an isolated vertex by Lemma 10. From now on we assume that $\overline{T}$ is not a CC-group.

Case 3: s is regular semisimple, i.e. $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s})^\circ = \overline{TZ(G)}$. If $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s}) > C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s})^\circ = \overline{TZ(G)}$ then s is equivalent to a unipotent element in G by Lemma 13. If $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s}) = C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{s})^\circ = \overline{TZ(G)}$ then s is equivalent to a unipotent element in G by Lemma 14.

Case 4: s is a non-regular semisimple element. By Lemma 7 s is equivalent to a unipotent element. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Frank Lübeck for providing lists of the generic conjugacy class sizes of the exceptional groups $E_7(q)$ computed in GAP and for helpful discussions. The third author acknowledges the support of the Australian Research Council Discovery Project DP140100416.

References

[1] A. Abdolghafourian and M. A. Iranmanesh, Divisibility graph for symmetric and alternating groups. *Comm. Algebra* **43** (7): 2852-2862, 2015.

[2] A. Abdolghafourian and M. A. Iranmanesh, On the number of connected components of divisibility graph for certain simple groups. *Transactions on Combinatorics*, **5** (2),33-40, 2016.

[3] A. Abdolghafourian and M. A. Iranmanesh, On divisibility graphs for finite sets of positive integers. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, (to appear).

[4] L. Babai, P. Pálfy and J. Saxl, On the number of p-regular elements in finite simple groups, *LMS J. Comput. Math.*, **12**, (2009), 82–119.

[5] Bertram, Edward A. and Herzog, Marcel and Mann, Avinoam, On a graph related to conjugacy classes of groups, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **22**(6), (1990), 569–575.

[6] R. Brauer and K. A. Fowler, On groups of even order, *Annals of Mathematics* **62** (3), (1955), 565–583.

[7] D. Bubboloni, S. Dolfi , M. A. Iranmanesh and C. E. Praeger, On bipartite divisor graphs for group conjugacy class sizes. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **213**(9) (2009)1722–1734.

[8] A. R. Camina and R. D. Camina, The influence of conjugacy class sizes on the structure of finite groups: a survey, *Asian-Eur. J. Math.* **4**(4), (2011), 559–588.

[9] R. W. Carter, *Finite groups of Lie type*. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, (1993). Conjugacy classes and complex characters, Reprint of the 1985 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
[10] R. W. Carter, *Centralizers of semisimple elements in the finite classical groups. Proc. London Math. Soc.* **3** 42 (1981) 1–41.
[11] B. Chang, The conjugate classes of Chevalley groups of type (G_2). *J. Algebra* **9** (2) (1968) 190–211.
[12] D. I. Deriziotis, The Centralizers of Semisimple Elements of the Chevalley Groups E_7 and E_8. *Tokyo J. Math.* **6** (1) (1983) 191–216.
[13] F. Digne and J. Michel, *Representations of finite groups of Lie type*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, **21**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1991).
[14] D. I. Deriziotis and G. O. Michler, Character table and blocks of finite simple triality groups ${}^3D_4(q)$. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **303** (1) (1987) 39–70.
[15] S. Dolfi, Arithmatical conditions on the length of the conjugacy classes of a finite group. *J. Algebra* **174** (1995) 753–771.
[16] H. Enomoto, The characters of the finite Chevalley group $G_2(q), q = 3^f$. *Jpn. J. Math.* **2** (2) (1976) 191–248.
[17] E. Fisman and Z. Arad, A proof of Szep's conjecture on nonsimplicity of certain finite groups. *J. Algebra*, **108**(2) (1987) 340–354.
[18] P. Fleischmann and I. Janiszczak. The semisimple conjugacy classes of finite groups of Lie type E_6 and E_7. *Comm. Algebra* 21.1 (1993), 93-161.
[19] P. Fleischmann and I. Janiszczak, The semisimple conjugacy classes and the generic class number of the finite simple groups of lie type E_8. *Comm. Algebra* **22** (6) (1994), 2221–2303.
[20] J. Fulman, P. M. Neumann and C. E. Praeger. A generating function approach to the enumeration of matrices in classical groups over finite fields. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **176** (830), (2005).
[21] The GAP Group, GAP–Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, 4.7.8, 2015, `http://www.gap-system.org`.
[22] S. M. Goodwin and G. Roehrle. On conjugacy of unipotent elements in finite groups of Lie type, *J. of Group Theory* **12** (2) (2009), 235–245.
[23] A. Iranmanesh and A. Jafarzadeh, On the commuting graph associated with the symmetric and alternating groups, *J. Algebra Appl.*, **7**(1) (2008) 129–146.
[24] M. A. Iranmanesh and C. E. Praeger, Bipartite divisor graphs for integer subsets. *Graphs Combin.*, **26**(1) (2010) 95–105.
[25] L. S. Kazarin, On groups with isolated conjugacy classes. *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat.*, **25**(7) (1981) 40–45.
[26] A. S. Kondrat'ev, Prime graph components of finite simple groups, *Math. USSR Sbornik*, **67**(1) (1990) 235–247.
[27] M. L. Lewis, An overview of graphs associated with character degrees and conjugacy class sizes in finite groups. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, **38**(1) (2008) 175–211.
[28] M. W. Liebeck and G. M. Seitz, *Unipotent and nilpotent classes in simple algebraic groups and Lie algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 180. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (2012).
[29] M. S. Lucido, Prime graph components of finite almost simple groups. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, **102**, (1999), 1–22.
[30] C. Jansen, K. Lux, R. Parker and R. Wilson. *The Atlas of Brauer Characters*, Oxford University Press, Oxford (1995).
[31] G.L. Morgan and C.W. Parker, The diameter of the commuting graph of a finite group with trivial centre,*J. Algebra*, **393**, (2013) 41–59.
[32] K. Mizuno, The conjugate classes of Chevalley groups of type E_6, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **24** (1977) 525–563.

[33] G. Malle and D. Testerman, *Linear algebraic groups and finite groups of Lie type*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **133**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2011).
[34] T. Shoji and N. Iwahori, The conjugacy classes of Chevalley groups of type (F_4) over finite fields of characteristic $p \neq 2$. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **21** (1) (1974) 1–17.
[35] W. A. Simpson and J. Sutherland Frame, The character tables for SU $(3, q^2)$, PSL $(3, q)$, PSU $(3, q^2)$. *Canad. J. Math* **25** (3) (1973) 486–494.
[36] T. A. Springer and R. Steinberg, *Conjugacy classes*, Seminar on Algebraic Groups and Related Finite Groups (The Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, N.J., 1968/69), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 131, Springer, Berlin, (1970).
[37] J. S. Williams, Prime graph components of finite groups. *J. Algebra* **69** (2) (1981) 487–513.

Adeleh Abdolghafourian
Department of Mathematics,
Yazd University, Yazd, 89195-741,
Iran
iranmanesh@yazd.ac.ir

Mohammad A. Iranmanesh
Department of Mathematics,
Yazd University, Yazd, 89195-741,
Iran
iranmanesh@yazd.ac.ir

Alice C. Niemeyer
Lehrstuhl B für Mathematik,
Pontdriesch 10-16, RWTH Aachen University, 52062 Aachen,
Germany
alice.niemeyer@mathb.rwth-aachen.de